

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,684	01/10/2005	Hans-Georg Goebbel	263762US0PCT	9273
22850 7590 01/03/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/03/2007 PAPI		PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,684	GOEBBEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	Ω □	(DTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/9/05</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,684

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaibel et al (US 5,939,589) in view of Brocker et al (US 6,150,564).

The instant claims are drawn to a process for preparing tetrahydrogeranylacetone by liquid phase hydrogenation of pseudoionone in the presence or a palladium-containing catalyst suspended through a device that inhibits the transport of catalyst particles.

Kaibel et al teach a reactor for carrying out reactions, such as hydrogenations, wherein the catalyst is suspended in a liquid. The reactor contains orifices or channels of a diameter from 0.5 to 20 mm (abstract). The reactor may comprise a bed, a knitted fabric, a foam structure of plastic or ceramic, or any other known packing element. Preferably, the orifices or channels have surface roughnesses of 0.1 to 10 times the average particle size of the suspended catalyst (col. 2, lines 28 to 67).

The difference between Kaibel et al and the instant claims is that Kaibel et al have an example wherein a different compound is being hydrogenated, specifically, a compound having only one site of unsaturation, while pseudoionone, which is hydrogenated in the present invention, contains multiple (three) sites of unsaturated.

Art Unit: 1621

However, Brocker et al teach a process for the selective liquid phase hydrogenation of compounds having multiple sites of unsaturated, i.e., alpha, beta-unsaturated carbonyl compounds, wherein the hydrogenation is carried out in a packed bubble column reactor, using a palladium or rhodium catalyst. Suitable packing materials include metallic materials, plastics, ceramics, and/ or inorganic fibers (col. 2, lines 12-67).

In light of the combined reference teachings, it would have been prima facie obvious to conduct a hydrogenation reaction of a mono-unsaturated carbonyl compound, as taught by Kaibel et al, or a poly-unsaturated carbonyl compound, using a reactor having a catalyst suspended through a device that inhibits transport of catalyst particles as taught in both references, especially since Brocker et al teach that since catalyst particles are slowed down and held up at the packing channel walls, an improvement in hydrodynamics is achieved, which enhances catalyst utilization (col. 2, lines 22-28).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: a heading, "Brief Description of the Drawings" is missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

saw

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SIKARL A. WITHERSPOO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sikarl A. Witherpoor